

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/963,942	09/26/2001	Daniel Blaukopf	P-3600-US	9894	
35690	7590 05/26/2005		EXAMINER		
MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C.			ZHEN, LI B		
P.O. BOX 398 AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2194		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/963,942	BLAUKOPF ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Li B. Zhen	2194		

		Li B. Zhen	2194					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REF	PLY FILED <u>25 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The this pla	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of application, applicant must timely file one of the followers the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Note a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compowing time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) 🔲	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	late of the final rejection.						
b) 🔯	ne period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	kaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO ONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
been filed CFR 1.17( above, if c earned par	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stanceked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
	OF APPEAL  A Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 27 CER 41 27 must be	s filad within two man	the of the data				
of f Sin	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ece a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be apply to the complex of Appeal has been filed.	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
AMENDI	·							
(a)	be proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, $X$ They raise new issues that would require further co $X$ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).	nsideration and/or search (see NC		Decause				
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. 🔲 Th	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
	im(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . im(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .							
	im(s) rejected: <u>16-40</u> .							
	im(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .			•				
<u>AFFIDA\</u>	IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
bed	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	——————————————————————————————————————	, , ,					
ent sho	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	nils to provide a (1).				
	ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11. 🛛 TI	ne request for reconsideration has been considered buee Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:				
	12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. <u> </u>	ther:	. 01	PERVISORY PATENT	ÍN				
		30	TECHNOLOGY CENT	EXAMINER ER 210				

0050519

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicant's amendment to claims 16 and 28 includes the new limitations "wherein in response to receiving a function call from the native language application, the second mediation module is configured to communicate the function call to the first mediation module; and wherein the first mediation module is configured to pass the function call to the platform independent language application". These limitations were not recited in claims 16 and 28 or its dependent claims and would require further consideration and search. Additionally, newly recited claims 41 - 53 includes new limitations that would also require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant presented arguments with respect to the proposed amendments. Since the newly added limitations and claims require new search and further considerations, the proposed amendments are not entered. As to applicant's arguments regarding the remaining claims, examiner points applicant to the Final Action dated 2/23/2005 and submits that the cited prior art teaches the invention as claimed.